

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/710,518		on the management of the contract of the contr	rstorre on Kallinstein Jack Johnson and State Jack Johnson (1988)
en e	er en la persona de la regiona	ra e arta area que sterior as ase a	EXAMINER
ar i valorita di Horrio. Nationale della di Salari di		i den grafie filosofi filosofi filosofi (1904) en grafie filosofi (1904)	en transport i de la companya de la La companya de la co
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
er i de la de la grada. La composição	al de la servició de La servició de la se	er i kan kurur ku i ti ka i ku	1753 Mark 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
ing and the second of the seco	o, versoră in inclusie e coupre di moniformatică senime il securitori INTE	DA'	TE MAILED:
l participants (applicant, applica	nt's representative PTO perso	u anno e secono e secesar de maior a carbe e panel): Mannelo a figura de la pasa máio e muide	er eing ab it gewallt ar eine in it is in it.
K. MAYELA			TO ABOUT TO THE ABOUT THE TOTAL
Λ	sers (Ati.)	<u> </u>	*
ate of Interview	-105	Amerika (1971) - Kelemika (1974) - Barres (1964) - Barres (1964) - Barres Alike - George (1964) - Barres (1964) - Barres (1964) - Barres (1964) - Gra don - Martin Charles (1964) - Barres (1964) - Barres (1964)	and the second section of the section o
pe: Telephonic Person	』 al (copy is given to □ applic	ant Zapplicant's representative).	SENTONO DE MARIONA DE LA COMPENSA D La compensa de la co
•		res, brief description:	i a Portago a destruíra de la composição d Esta de la composição de l
			Park Martin Barton (1997) Barton Martin Martin (1997) Barton Martin (1997)
reement 🗆 was reached. 🛭	was not reached.	and the second of the second o	
aim(s) discussed:	1-4	The strike and the south the end of leaking a long of the south th	Carlos selectos com tra carte. O cambinatorio monte e el cale de la como el c Deservo de la cale de la cale de la como el cale de la como el c
entification of prior art discussed	1 Phon m	TO OF RECOLD AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	on king kanal manahiri bermilan dikan panggan kanal menganggan bermilan dikan
•		Aller and Alberta Market Artist (Alberta Market)	
escription of the general nature	of what was agreed to if an ag	reement was reached, or any other com	nments:
۸ .		R THE WIELD	
MATRI	er 4 16 h	e kayan yakin germalan ali kaya kamilan bilan	50P V
age to the last of the relation of the		Distribution of the second	
		कार्यकृत्यकः अन्यत्रं स्थापकः विकासम्बद्धाः वर्षः	the different section is a second of the sec
ust be attached. Also, where no ached.)		s, if available, which the examiner agre ch would render the claims allowable is	available, a summary thereof must be a summary to the s
		ord of the substance of the interview.	et garri a talverra a a till och a et skalle for til et 1988 styllig i till et skalle och et skalle skalle
nless the paragraph above has I NOT WAIVED AND MUST INC	been checked to indicate to the LUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MO	e contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESITHE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section DNTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE	713.04). If a response to the last Of TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
Since the Examiner's interv rejections and requirements is considered to fulfill the re the interview unless box 1 a	iew summary above (including that may be present in the las sponse requirements of the las above is also checked.	any attachments) reflects a complete r st Office action, and since the claims are st Office action. Applicant is not relieve	response to each of the objections, by pow allowable, this completed form
aminer Note: You must sign this		nt to another form.	& Mayet
DIA PTOL A19 (PENA DEL 1971)	Maria de America de la composición del composición de la composición del composición de la composición	《解析》的《双音》的句子,新撰《古典》。 医神经病的 医肝炎 《四音》: "我们是我们的,我们就是我们的。"	Il Anderon.

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face to face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(h) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§

§ 1.2: Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews."

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right fixed portion of the file; and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication: The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Serial Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)

 An indication whether are a second attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable): (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed, with decrease in the particulated between the process of the particular and the particular a
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.